

Dear Speaker Pelosi:

We, the 28 undersigned groups, are writing to urge you to support efforts by House Democrats to advance a more balanced and reasonable national security approach to competition with China than was passed by the Senate in the U.S. Innovation and Competition Act.

Even as our country takes steps to address challenges associated with the rise of China, we should seek to preserve policies in place since the 1970s which have kept the peace between the United States and China. Division C of the Senate legislation (also known as the Strategic Competition Act or SCA) contains multiple provisions that would unnecessarily increase regional tensions, endanger essential diplomatic flexibility, and could inadvertently contribute to a growing climate of anti-Asian racism in America. By provoking excessive escalation and conflict, many provisions would make it harder, not easier, to address the very real issues of concern in Chinese behavior and to address issues of mutual concern ranging from climate change to nuclear proliferation.

Elements of the SCA would sharply restrict the ability of the State Department and other government agencies to maintain long standing American policies that have helped to keep the peace with China in the Taiwan Strait, including the U.S. "One China Policy." By narrowing the scope for diplomacy and increasing tensions with China in this critical area, the legislation could endanger our allies by risking destructive escalation with China. The legislation would also force the executive branch to sustain U.N. Security Council sanctions on North Korea until full denuclearization, cutting off opportunities for diplomatic negotiations and creating significant humanitarian costs for the North Korean people. As the Biden administration re-engages with the world, we should not tie its hands in conducting sensitive diplomacy and negotiations with other major powers, including China.

These are hardly the only objectionable elements of the Senate bill. Section 3310 of the Senate bill also undermines the State Department's ability to conduct diplomacy. It restricts the ability of U.S. diplomats to conduct sensitive negotiations with other countries by mandating overbroad and premature public disclosure of steps taken on the road to concluding a final treaty. Other elements in the bill would unnecessarily chill peaceful cooperative exchanges with China and threaten the civil liberties of Asian-Americans. In sum, provisions in Division C of S. 1260 go well beyond what is called for in addressing the many legitimate areas of concern with China's behavior and its potential conflict with U.S. interests, and could ultimately be counterproductive.

In contrast, the EAGLE Act advanced by House Committee on Foreign Affairs Chairman Gregory Meeks takes a more balanced and less extreme approach, one that does not include many of the most objectionable elements of the Senate bill. While the signers of this letter do not

necessarily agree with all elements of the EAGLE Act, the contrast between this legislation and the SCA shows the value of separate consideration of China policy by the House.

We urge you to ensure that China-related national security legislation that you bring to the floor has been considered through regular order in a manner that permits members to fully scrutinize the legislation, debate crucial issues, and offer amendments. We believe the House Foreign Affairs Committee and the House of Representatives deserve a say in this important policy debate and encourage you to support a meaningful debate before moving toward final passage.

Sincerely,

American Friends Service Committee  
The Center for Conscience and War  
Center for International Policy  
Code Pink  
China-US Solidarity Network  
Coalition for Peace Action  
Committee for a SANE U.S.-China Policy  
Common Defense  
Council for a Liveable World  
Environmentalists Against War  
Foreign Policy for America  
Friends Committee on National Legislation (FCNL)  
Global Ministries of the Christian Church (Disciples of Christ)  
Just Foreign Policy  
Justice is Global  
Korea Peace Network  
MADRE  
MoveOn  
National Asian Pacific American Families Against Substance Abuse (NAPAFASA)  
National Korean American Service & Education Consortium (NAKASEC)  
Peace Action  
Quincy Institute for Responsible Statecraft  
Rethinking Foreign Policy  
Roots Action  
Union of Concerned Scientists  
United Church of Christ  
Women's Action for New Directions (WAND)  
Win Without War  
Women Cross DMZ